RACINE COUNTY

Basic Jury System Evaluation Report

03-06-2023 02:21 pm

County Racine Jury Year 2022 Term of Service 4 # of Judges 10

Completed By Samuel A Christensen Date 03-06-2023 Daily Fee \$16.00 Mileage Rates 0.00/0.510

(Flat/Juror)

Sent to DCA (date) 03-06-2023 Notes

2-Step County

Section 1: Representative and Inclusive Source List

Reports/Statistics:

Census Report: 2020 Census Report

Demographics Import Report, Juror Demographics

Note: Use Juror Demographic Qualifying Yields and Juror Demographic Summoning Yields to evaluate demographic results at all

stages of the jury process.

Persons 18+ Years Old		Census Year	Jury Ye	ar Master List	Prospecti	ve Juror List ¹
Gender	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Male	74322	49.09%	67923	49.22%	7619	49.59%
Female	77089	50.91%	70075	50.78%	7745	50.41%
	151411		137998		15364	
Age	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
18-24 yrs	16281	10.75%	13263	9.61%	1656	10.78%
25-44 yrs	47420	31.32%	44457	32.22%	5207	33.89%
45-64 yrs	54068	35.71%	48262	34.97%	5306	34.54%
65+ yrs	33642	22.22%	32016	23.20%	3195	20.80%
Unknown	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
	151411		137998		15364	
Race	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Black/African-Amer	16271	10.75%	12563	9.10%	1523	9.91%
Amer Indian / Alaska Native	663	0.44%	292	0.21%	46	0.30%
Asian / Pacific Islander	2138	1.41%	1600	1.16%	200	1.30%
White / Caucasian	115397	76.21%	104097	75.43%	11624	75.66%
Hispanic ²	16942	11.19%	10033	7.27%	1286	8.37%
Other ³	0	0.00%	9413	6.82%	679	4.42%
Unknown	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6	0.04%
	151411		137998		15364	

Notes:

Analysis:

The Prospective Juror List appears to be representative of the estimated population based on the Census information. We do see a slightly higher percentage in the 25-44 yrs age ranges and lower in the 45-64 yrs and 65+ yrs in the Prospective Jury list when compared to the Census information. We also see percentages in Black/African-American and White/Caucasian categories on the Prospective Juror List that are more in line with the Census data then on the Jury Year Master List. Our inclusiveness percentage, 91.14%, exceeds the measure/goal (85%).

¹ Use List after imported when Exception List removed

² See Page 6 of Guide for discussion on counting Hispanic names

³ DOT does not provide a category that matches the US Census category of 'two or more races'.

Section 2: Effective Qualification and Summoning

Section 3: Responsiveness of Jurors

Reports/Statistics:

Juror Qualifying Yields, Juror Summoning Yields

_				
Qua	litica	atior	า Yie	Id

Potential Available	Number	Ineligible/Unavailable	Number	%
A. Questionnaires Sent	15161	B. Undeliverable	569	3.75%
		C. Deceased	64	0.42%
		D. Disqualified	1359	8.96%
		E. Exc Jury Year	578	3.81%
		F. Perm Excused	545	3.59%
		G. Postponed	1173	7.74%
		H. Final No Response	1804	11.90%
		(to get total add B thru H)		
		I. Total Not Available	6092	40.18%
J. Total Qualified to Serve	9069 (A - I)			
K. Qualification Yield (%)	59.82% [(J / A) x 100]			
Summoning Yield				
Potential Available	Number	Ineligible/Unavailable	Number	%
L. Summons Sent	8817	M. Undeliverable	48	0.54%
L. Gariniono Goria		N. Deceased	7	0.08%
		O. Disqualified	176	2.00%
		P. Exc Jury Year	619	7.02%
		Q. Perm Excused	98	1.11%
		R. Postponed	1719	19.50%
		S. Final FTA	125	1.42%
		(to get total add M thru S)		,
		T. Total Not Available	2792	31.67%
U. Total Eligible and Available	6025 (L - T)			
V. Summoning Yield (%)	68.33% [(U / L) x 100]	W. Total Cancelled / Called Off	2816	
		X. Percentage Cancelled /	46 7 40/ [/\	M / LI) + 4001
		Called Off	40.74% [(\	V / U) x 100]
Overall Yield				
K. Qualification Yield (%)	59.82%			
V Summoning Yield (%)	68.33%			

N. Qualification field (70)	00.0270	
V. Summoning Yield (%)	68.33%	
Overall Yield % (Measures => 60%)	40.88%	[(K x V) x 100]
Overall Undeliverable %	2.57%	$[((B + M) / (A + L)) \times 100]$
Overall Exc. Jury Year %	4.99%	[((E + P) / (A + L)) x 100]
Overall Perm Excused %	2.68%	$[((F + Q) / (A + L)) \times 100]$
Overall Postponed %	12.06%	[((G + R) / (A + L)) x 100]

Analysis: Racine County switched from a 1-step to a 2-step in 2020. We saw a slight decrease in our Qualification Yields 2021.

however, we are still seeing higher Qualification Yields than in the past. Our overall yield is slightly deceased from 2021 but

is still higher than 2020 by almost 5%(35.9% in 2020).

Section 2: Effective Qualification and Summoning

Section 3: Responsiveness of Jurors

Reports/Statistics:

Follow-up Activity sections on Juror Qualifying Yields and Juror Summoning Yields

Effectiveness of Follow-up Actions

Follow-up Actions Used: (i.e., calls, letters, OSC)

Racine County uses multiple follow-up letters.

Non-response to Questionnaires

	Number
Total NRF Codes	3853
Total SNRF Codes	1949
Total Jurors with NRF or SNRF	3854

Results of follow-up Jurors with NRF & SNRF

	Number	%	
Number ineligible/unqualified	605	15.70%	Of Total with NRF & SNRF
Number qualified (postponed & became available)	1513	39.26%	Of Total with NRF & SNRF
Number final no response	1736	45.04%	Of Total with NRF & SNRF

Failure to appear when summoned

	Number
Total FTA and SFTA Codes	463
Total FTA Jurors with FTAF	372

Results of follow-up Jurors with FTAF

	Number	%
Number ineligible/unqualified	31	8.33% Of Total with FTAF
Number became eligible/available (subsequent service)	0	0.00% Of Total with FTAF
Number postponed to later term	206	55.38% Of Total with FTAF
Number final FTA	135	36.29% Of Total with FTAF

Analysis

Regular weekly coding of jurors with No Response Follow-up (NRF) and mailings for jurors who did not return questionnaire for the following week continues to be effective. Ensuring accurate coding of Failure to Appear Follow-up (FTAF) is resulting in a higher percentage of final Failure to Appear (FTA).

Section 4: Efficient Use of Jurors

Reports/Statistics:

Juror Summoning Yields, Jury Summary by Cases (Totals on detail page), Daily Juror Use Summary (Totals)

	Number		Report/Location
Days Jurors Reported for Selection	78	Daily J	uror Use Summary "New/Report for Selection"
New/Reporting for Selection	3521	Daily J	uror Use Summary "New/Report for Selection"
Not Used	1120		Daily Juror Use Summary "Not Used"
Number of Voir Dires Begun	70		Daily Juror Use Summary "Voir Dires Begun"
No Trial Start Days	17	Daily J	uror Use Summary "New/Report for Selection"
Sent to Voir Dire	2498		Jury Summary by Case "Sent to Voir Dire"
Questioned in Voir Dire	1686		Jury Summary by Case "Quest. Voir Dire"
Not Questioned in Voir Dire	812		Jury Summary by Case "Not Quest. Voir Dire"
Sworn Jurors	714		Jury Summary by Case "Sworn Jurors"
Trial Days	163		Jury Summary by Case "Trial Days"
Cancelled / Called Off	2816	J	uror Summoning Yields "Cancelled/Called Off"
Measures		Percent	Goal
% Sent to Jury Selection		70.95%	(Measures => 90%)
(# Sent to Voir Dire / # New/Reporting for Selection)			
% Selected as Jurors		20.96%	(Measures => 30%)
(# Sworn Jurors / # New/Reporting for Selection)			
% Used in Voir Dire		67.49%	(Measures => 80%)
(# Questioned in Voir Dire / # Sent to Voir Dire)			
% No Trial Start Days		21.79%	(Measures =< 10%)
(# No Trial Start Days / # Days Jurors Reported for Selection)			

Analysis: In 2022, we have saw over a decrease in the number of jurors sent to Jury Selection over last year. This means more jurors are being summoned to report then not sent to a courtroom for Voir Dire. Our percentage of Jurors sent to Jury Selection is 70.95% which falls below the 90% measure. We also saw a decrease of almost 5% from 2021 to 2022. We have saw a slight decrease to the percentage of potential jurors selected to serve on a trial, decreased to 20.96% from 21.34% in 2021. This is still an improvement over the last several years (from 19.67% in 2019 and from 17.26% in 2018). However, the percentage of Jurors called in, reporting in, and being selected to serve on a trial continues to fall below the 30% measure and does not meet the goal. We have seen the number of jurors questioned/'used' at Voir Dire has slightly decreased over 2022. The percentage of Jurors used in Voir Dire continues to fall significantly below the 80% measure and does not meet the goal. We saw a slight decrease with our No Trial Start Days from 2022 and moved closer to the desired measure. It is still much improved over 2019. However, still not below the measure. No Trial Start Days in 2021 was 22.41%, 2020 was 10.53%, and 2019 was 32.2%,

Section 5: Cost Effectiveness

Reports/Statistics:

Jury Expense Summary, Jury Summary by Case, and local budget

Primary Analysis		Report/Location
Total Expenses	\$133,198.85	/ Jury Expense Summary "Total (\$)"
Trial Days	163	=
Trial Days	\$817.17	(total expenses / # trial days = cost per trial day)
Secondary Analysis (optional)		
All Associated Costs ⁴	\$221,885.12	1
# of Questionnaires Sent	15161	=
Administrative Cost/Juror	\$14.64	(all costs / total # questionnaires sent = administrative cost per juror)
⁴ Associated costs include the total expenses	on Jury Expense Summary,	plus salaries, printing,

⁴ Associated costs include the total expenses on Jury Expense Summary, plus salaries, printing, postage and any other expenses related to the jury system.

Analysis: All associated costs include: Food Supplies: \$157.76; Juror Meals: \$3,876.75; Juror Parking: \$0; Juror Per Diem:

\$47,944.00; Juror Travel: \$50,853.63; Jury Attendants: \$28,336.60; Jury Coordinator Salary (with Benefits): \$75,343.39;

Other: \$384.00; Postage: \$11,362.34; Printing: \$3,626.65.

Addendum: Inclusiveness & Representativeness

Inclusiveness	Census Year	Jury Year Master List	Inclusiveness	
Persons 18+ Years Old	151411	137998	91.14%	(Measures => 85%)

Absolute Dispar	ity		Census Year	Jury Yea	ar Master List	Absolute Disparity
Race		Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Percentage
	Black/African-Amer	16271	10.75%	12563	9.10%	-1.64%
	Amer Indian / Alaska Native	663	0.44%	292	0.21%	-0.23%
	Asian / Pacific Islander	2138	1.41%	1600	1.16%	-0.25%
	White / Caucasian	115397	76.21%	104097	75.43%	-0.78%
	Hispanic ¹	16942	11.19%	10033	7.27%	-3.92%
	Other ²	0	0.00%	9413	6.82%	6.82%
	Unknown	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
		151411		137998		

Comparative Disparity	Census Year		Jury Year Master List		Comparative Disparity
Race	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Percentage
Black/African-Amer	16271	10.75%	12563	9.10%	-15.28%
Amer Indian / Alaska Native	663	0.44%	292	0.21%	-51.68%
Asian / Pacific Islander	2138	1.41%	1600	1.16%	-17.89%
White / Caucasian	115397	76.21%	104097	75.43%	-1.02%
Hispanic ¹	16942	11.19%	10033	7.27%	-35.02%
Other ²	0	0.00%	9413	6.82%	0.00%
Unknown	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
	151411		137998		

Notes

¹ See Page 6 of Guide for discussion on counting Hispanic names

 $^{^{2}\,\}mathrm{DOT}$ does not provide a category that matches the US Census category of 'two or more races'.