Lorie R. Raupp inst Racine County, Wisconsin APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE/APPEAL LOUIE RAUPP DECEMBATION OF TRUST Owner: Dates December 27, 2013 Applicant/Agent: Don KETTERHALTO Builders Date petition filed: 4-6-22 Hearing Date: 5-3-22 Address: 30711 CEDOR DRIVE B-RC. NETON W1 53:05 Municipality: Burein CTON TOWNSHIP Zoning district(s): R-3Phone (Hm) 3,2 - 305 - 7729 (Wk) _____ TO THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT/APPEALS: Please take notice that the undersigned was denied a permit or seeks an appeal of the Zoning Administrator for: +o construct an addition to an existing deck and a paver patio at site address 30711 CEDAR DRIVE, BURLINGTON WI, Section 27, T 3 N, R 19 E Lot(s) 20.21 Blk - Subd/CSM VICE HEIGHTS Parcel Id.# 00203.927769000 in Racine County, Wisconsin, for the reason that the application failed to comply with Chapter 20 (Zoning) of the Racine County Code of Ordinances with respect to: the proposed addition to an existing deck and paver patio will have in sufficient shore yard Applicant is subject to: Article VI Division 5, R.3 Subsiden Residential District (Sewered); Section 20-1017 Reduction or joint use and Sec. 20-1045 shareland Setbacks and exempt structures , of the Racine County Zoning Ordinance. Check applicable below: (Underline or circle the word "all" or "partially" below, as needed) Property is all/partially located in the shoreland area of Brows Lake Project is all/partially located in the shoreland area of Browns Lake Property is all/partially located in the floodplain area of Browns Lales Project is all/partially located in the floodplain area of Project is all/partially located in a wetland area. Property is all/partially located in a wetland area. Indicate below or attach separate pages showing how your application meets the legal criteria for a variance. 1) Explain how the Ordinance creates an unnecessary hardship and in the absence of approval no feasible use can be made of the property. See AHa ched

2) Describe the exceptional, extraordinary or unusual circumstances that are unique to this lot or structure. See A Hacked

3) Describe how the approval would not create substantial detriment to adjacent property or materially impair or be contrary to the purpose and spirit of zoning or the public interest. See A Hacked

4) Explain how the request is not based on economic gain or loss and is not self-imposed. See A Hacked

Fee paid: \$ 450.00 Check # 3879 (Payable to Racine County Planning) • Please attach required documentation

____ Date 4.6.22

Owner/Applicant's Signature Korlad

.... an appeal of the Zoning Administrator for: construction of a patio and deck extension.

1).... unnecessary hardship and in the absence of approval no feasible use can be made of the property.

The Ordinance interferes with the reasonable use of residential property to have a paved patio area adjacent to the house and deck.

2).... circumstances that are unique to this lot or structure.

The house is situated with a side entrance door that does not have a walway to the front of the house, particularly to the lakefront deck. The existing deck is of an unusual "Z" shape limiting floor area making it unsafe for use with typical picnic tables and chairs.

The property has a 185 foot lake frontage with a large existing vegetative buffer area that is maintained on the lakefront.

3).... detriment to adjacent property or materially impair or be contrary to the purpose and spirit of zoning or the public interest.

In 2021 the owner removed an estimated 225 square foot concrete patio from the lakefront buffer zone and restored the lawn (picture included). The proposed replacement patio makes sense as it will be farther back from the lake, and will be constructed of permeable materials. The existing vegetation that covers more than half of the shoreland setback across 185 feet of shoreline has been improved by the owner and will not be disturbed by the proposed work.

4).... economic gain or loss and is not self-imposed.

The proposed patio extension and deck addition provide a functional use of the property. The useability of property is only being improved for the enjoyment and safety of the owners and guests.





LOCATION MAP

Lorie R Raupp Declaration of Trust Dated 12/27/2013; Owner D.M. Ketterhagen Builders; Agent

30711 Cedar Drive Sec. 27, T3N, R19E - Town of Burlington



1 inch = 400 feet Revised by EJS



ZONING MAP

Lorie R Raupp Declaration of Trust Dated 12/27/2013; Owner D.M. Ketterhagen Builders; Agent

30711 Cedar Drive

Sec. 27, T3N, R19E - Town of Burlington



1 inch = 400 feet Revised by EJS













