

RESOLUTION NO. 2020-26

RESOLUTION BY THE FINANCE AND HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE DENYING THE CLAIM OF JAMES KURTZ

To the Honorable Members of the Racine County Board of Supervisors:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Racine County Board of Supervisors that the claim of by James Kurtz in the amount of \$450,000.00 be and is hereby denied; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Racine County Board of Supervisors that the County Clerk is directed to serve a copy of this denial upon the claimant.

Respectfully submitted,

1st Reading _____

FINANCE AND HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE

2nd Reading _____

BOARD ACTION

Robert N. Miller, Chairman

Adopted _____

For _____

Against _____

Absent _____

Q.A. Shakoor, II, Vice-Chairman

VOTE REQUIRED: Majority

Rusty Russell Clark, Secretary

Prepared by:
Corporation Counsel

Nick Demske

John A. Wisch

Thomas Pringle

Donald J. Trottier

The foregoing legislation adopted by the County Board of Supervisors of Racine County, Wisconsin, is hereby:

Approved: _____

Vetoed: _____

Date: _____,

Jonathan Delagrave, County Executive

3 **INFORMATION ONLY**

4
5 **WHEREAS**, on January 6, 2000, Racine County Circuit Court ordered James Lammers
6 (NKA Kurtz) to pay \$1,411.97 for Guardian ad Litem (GAL) fees in Racine County Circuit Court
7 Case Number 98-CV-798; and

8
9 **WHEREAS**, on February 22, 2000, the Clerk of Circuit Court completed a Certificate of
10 Claim by Racine County for Recovery of Legal Fees in that case and filed it with the Register of
11 Deeds on February 25, 2000; and

12
13 **WHEREAS**, on February 19, 2020, Racine County Clerk of Circuit Court intercepted
14 James Kurtz's tax return and collected the \$1,411.97 owed in 98-CV-798; and

15
16 **WHEREAS**, on March 27, 2020, James Kurtz filed a claim with Racine County alleging
17 that the tax intercept was illegal and that he was not responsible for the fees; and

18
19 **WHEREAS**, Racine County Clerk of Circuit Court Samuel Christensen reviewed the
20 court record in 98-CV-798 and confirmed that the GAL fees were owed by James Kurtz and that
21 the tax intercept was lawful; and

22
23 **WHEREAS**, the Racine County Corporation Counsel Office and Racine County Clerk of
24 Circuit Court have reviewed this matter, and both recommend denial of this claim.