

County	Racine	Jury Year	2018	Term of Service	4	# of Judges	10
Completed By	Ashley Robash	Date	02-19-2019	Daily Fee	\$16.00	Mileage Rates (Flat/Juror)	0.00/0.510
Sent to DCA (date)	03-14-2019	Notes					

1-Step County

Section 1: Representative and Inclusive Source List

Reports/Statistics:

Census Report: 2017

Demographics Import Report, Juror Demographics

Note: Use Juror Demographic Yields to evaluate demographic results at all stages of the jury process.

	Census Year		Jury Year Master List		Prospective Juror List ¹		
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Persons 18+ Years Old							
Gender							
	Male	73567	48.93%	67512	49.27%	7079	49.70%
	Female	76791	51.07%	69506	50.73%	7165	50.30%
		150358		137018		14244	
Age							
	18-24 yrs	16462	10.95%	13701	10.00%	1685	11.83%
	25-44 yrs	46825	31.14%	44034	32.14%	4829	33.90%
	45-64 yrs	55616	36.99%	50865	37.12%	5053	35.47%
	65+ yrs	31455	20.92%	28418	20.74%	2677	18.79%
	Unknown	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
		150358		137018		14244	
Race							
	Black/African-Amer	15952	10.61%	13060	9.53%	1445	10.14%
	Amer Indian / Alaska Native	628	0.42%	364	0.27%	37	0.26%
	Asian / Pacific Islander	2102	1.40%	1642	1.20%	183	1.28%
	White / Caucasian	115624	76.90%	112645	82.21%	11348	79.67%
	Hispanic ²	16052	10.68%	9307	6.79%	1108	7.78%
	Other ³	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	95	0.67%
	Unknown	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	28	0.20%
		150358		137018		14244	

Notes:

¹ Use List after imported when Exception List removed

² See Page 6 of Guide for discussion on counting Hispanic names

³ DOT does not provide a category that matches the US Census category of 'two or more races'.

Analysis: The Prospective Juror List appears to be representative of the estimated population based on the Census information. We do see a slightly higher percentage in the 18-24 yrs and 25-44 yrs age ranges and lower in the 45-64 yrs and 65+ yrs in the Prospective Jury list when compared to the Census information. We also see percentages in Black/African-American and White/Caucasian categories on the Prospective Juror List that is more in line with the Census data then on the Jury Year Master List. Our inclusiveness percentage, 91.13%, exceeds the measure/goal (85%) and is marginally higher than 2017.

Section 2: Effective Qualification and Summoning

Section 3: Responsiveness of Jurors

Reports/Statistics:

Juror Yields

Qualification Yield

Potential Available	Number	Ineligible/Unavailable	Number	%
A. Question-Summons Sent	15134	B. Undeliverable	839	5.54%
		C. Deceased	63	0.42%
		D. Disqualified	1307	8.64%
		E. Exc Jury Year	1147	7.58%
		F. Perm Excused	150	0.99%
		G. Postponed	2495	16.49%
		H. Final No Response	958	6.33%
		I. Final FTA	140	0.93%
		(to get total add B thru I)		
		J. Total Not Available	7099	46.91%
K. Total Eligible and Available	8035 (A - J)	M. Total Cancelled / Called Off	4941	
L. Qualification Yield (%)	53.09% [(K / A) x 100]	N. Percentage Cancelled / Called Off	61.49%	[(M / K) x 100]

Overall Yield

Overall Yield % (Measures => 60%) 53.09% (L)

Analysis: Overall yield is lower than the measure/goal of 60% but falls short of the goal by less than 7%. We decreased our Overall Yield by almost 2% from 2017. 2018 saw less potential jurors available than 2017. We saw less jurors permanently excused in 2018 and roughly a 2% decrease in postponements.

Section 2: Effective Qualification and Summoning

Section 3: Responsiveness of Jurors

Reports/Statistics:

Follow-up Activity sections on Juror Yields

Effectiveness of Follow-up Actions

Follow-up Actions Used:
(i.e., calls, letters, OSC)

Order to Show Cause Hearings are being held for failure to appear or fail to return questionnaires. These hearings are held before the Judicial Court Commissioner. Hearings are held once a month to allow jurors to reschedule their jury duty. Jurors are subject to a \$50 fine if they do not show up at the hearing to reschedule jury duty.

Non-response to Questionnaires

	Number		
Total NRF Codes	2872		
Total SNRF Codes	0		
Total Jurors with NRF or SNRF	2872		
Results of follow-up			
	Jurors with NRF & SNRF		
	Number	%	
Number ineligible/unqualified	505	17.58%	Of Total with NRF & SNRF
Number qualified (postponed & became available)	1422	49.51%	Of Total with NRF & SNRF
Number final no response	945	32.90%	Of Total with NRF & SNRF

Failure to appear when summoned

	Number		
Total FTA and SFTA Codes	603		
Total FTA Jurors with FTAF	578		
Results of follow-up			
	Jurors with FTAF		
	Number	%	
Number ineligible/unqualified	55	9.52%	Of Total with FTAF
Number became eligible/available (subsequent service)	0	0.00%	Of Total with FTAF
Number postponed to later term	391	67.65%	Of Total with FTAF
Number final FTA	132	22.84%	Of Total with FTAF

Analysis: Regular weekly coding of jurors with No Response Follow-up (NRF) and mailings for jurors who did not return questionnaire for the following week appear to be effective. This is resulting in a higher percentage of eligible/available jurors for subsequent service. Ensuring accurate coding of Failure to Appear Follow-up (FTAF) is resulting in a higher percentage of final Failure to Appear (FTA).

Section 4: Efficient Use of Jurors

Reports/Statistics:

Juror Yields, Jury Summary by Case (Totals on detail page), Daily Juror Use Summary (Totals)

	Number	Report/Location
Days Jurors Reported for Selection	71	Daily Juror Use Summary "New/Report for Selection"
New/Reporting for Selection	3128	Daily Juror Use Summary "New/Report for Selection"
Not Used	1231	Daily Juror Use Summary "Not Used"
Number of Voir Dires Begun	56	Daily Juror Use Summary "Voir Dires Begun"
No Trial Start Days	25	Daily Juror Use Summary "New/Report for Selection"
Sent to Voir Dire	1929	Jury Summary by Case "Sent to Voir Dire"
Questioned in Voir Dire	1276	Jury Summary by Case "Quest. Voir Dire"
Not Questioned in Voir Dire	653	Jury Summary by Case "Not Quest. Voir Dire"
Sworn Jurors	540	Jury Summary by Case "Sworn Jurors"
Trial Days	133	Jury Summary by Case "Trial Days"
Cancelled / Called Off	4941	Juror Yields "Cancelled/Called Off"

Measures	Percent	Goal
% Sent to Jury Selection (# sent to voir dire / # reporting for selection)	60.65%	(Measures => 90%)
% Selected as Jurors (# jurors sworn / # reporting for selection)	17.26%	(Measures => 30%)
% Used in Voir Dire (# questioned in voir dire / # sent to voir dire)	66.05%	(Measures => 80%)
% No Trial Start Days (# days jurors brought in for no trial / # of days jurors reported for selection)	35.21%	(Measures =< 10%)

Analysis: In 2018, we have seen roughly a 9% decrease in the number of jurors sent to Jury Selection over last year. Our percentage of Jurors sent to Jury Selection is 60.65% which falls well below the 90% measure and does not meet the goal. We have seen no change in the percentage of potential jurors selected to serve on a trial since 2016. The percentage of Jurors called in, reporting in, and being selected to serve on a trial continues to fall below the 30% measure and does not meet the goal. We have seen the number of jurors questioned at Voir Dire increase almost 7% increase from 2017. Despite this increase, the percentage of Jurors used in Voir Dire falls significantly below the 80% measure and does not meet the goal. We have seen a 5% decrease in the number of occasions in which jurors are brought/called in and no trials begin over 2017. This percentage falls above the 10% measure and does not meet the goal. While we are making small moves in the right directions in some areas, none of the Measure/Goals have been met. None of the measure/goals in this section have been meet in the last six years.

Section 5: Cost Effectiveness

Reports/Statistics:

Jury Expense Summary, Jury Summary by Case, and local budget

Primary Analysis

			Report/Location
Total Expenses	\$103,848.11	/	Jury Expense Summary "Total (\$)"
Trial Days	133	=	
Trial Days	\$780.81	(total expenses / # trial days = cost per trial day)	

Secondary Analysis (optional)

All Associated Costs ⁴	\$162,720.25	/	
# of Questionnaires Sent	15134	=	
Administrative Cost/Juror	\$10.75	(all costs / total # questionnaires sent = administrative cost per juror)	

⁴ Associated costs include the total expenses on Jury Expense Summary, plus salaries, printing, postage and any other expenses related to the jury system.

Analysis: All associated costs include: Food Supplies: \$562.75; Juror Meals: \$2,199.27; Juror Parking: \$10,200.00; Juror Per Diem: \$38,246.98; Juror Travel: \$38,870.41; Jury Attendants: \$23,836.05; Jury Coordinator Salary (with Benefits): \$63,086.81; Other: \$630.04; Postage: \$5,255.62; Printing: \$3,668.37.

Addendum: Inclusiveness & Representativeness

Inclusiveness	Census Year	Jury Year Master List	Inclusiveness	
Persons 18+ Years Old	150358	137018	91.13%	(Measures => 85%)

Race	Census Year	Census Year		Jury Year Master List		Absolute Disparity
		Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Black/African-Amer		15952	10.61%	13060	9.53%	-1.08%
Amer Indian / Alaska Native		628	0.42%	364	0.27%	-0.15%
Asian / Pacific Islander		2102	1.40%	1642	1.20%	-0.20%
White / Caucasian		115624	76.90%	112645	82.21%	5.31%
Hispanic ¹		16052	10.68%	9307	6.79%	-3.88%
Other ²		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Unknown		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
		150358		137018		

Race	Census Year	Census Year		Jury Year Master List		Comparative Disparity
		Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Black/African-Amer		15952	10.61%	13060	9.53%	-10.16%
Amer Indian / Alaska Native		628	0.42%	364	0.27%	-36.40%
Asian / Pacific Islander		2102	1.40%	1642	1.20%	-14.28%
White / Caucasian		115624	76.90%	112645	82.21%	6.91%
Hispanic ¹		16052	10.68%	9307	6.79%	-36.37%
Other ²		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Unknown		0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
		150358		137018		

Notes:

¹ See Page 6 of Guide for discussion on counting Hispanic names

² DOT does not provide a category that matches the US Census category of 'two or more races'.