

County	Racine	Jury Year	2016	Term of Service	4	# of Judges	10
Completed By	Samuel A Christensen	Date	02-23-2017	Daily Fee	\$16.00	Mileage Rates (Flat/Juror)	0.00/0.510
Sent to DCA (date)	02-23-2017	Notes					

1-Step County

Section 1: Representative and Inclusive Source List

Reports/Statistics:

Census Report: 2015

Demographics Import Report, Juror Demographics

Note: Use Juror Demographic Yields to evaluate demographic results at all stages of the jury process.

Persons 18+ Years Old	Census Year		Jury Year Master List		Prospective Juror List ¹		
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Gender							
	Male	72918	48.98%	67869	49.37%	7157	49.59%
	Female	75960	51.02%	69609	50.63%	7274	50.41%
		148878		137478		14431	
Age							
	18-24 yrs	16461	11.06%	14357	10.60%	1728	11.97%
	25-44 yrs	46573	31.28%	43322	32.00%	4784	33.15%
	45-64 yrs	56271	37.80%	51304	37.90%	5458	37.82%
	65+ yrs	29573	19.86%	26398	19.50%	2461	17.05%
	Unknown	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
		148878		135381		14431	
Race							
	Black/African-Amer	15636	10.50%	12606	9.17%	1413	9.79%
	Amer Indian / Alaska Native	594	0.40%	349	0.25%	43	0.30%
	Asian / Pacific Islander	1988	1.34%	1622	1.18%	150	1.04%
	White / Caucasian	115425	77.53%	114234	83.09%	11759	81.48%
	Hispanic ²	15235	10.23%	8667	6.30%	982	6.80%
	Other ³	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	75	0.52%
	Unknown	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	9	0.06%
		148878		137478		14431	

Notes:

¹ Use List after imported when Exception List removed

² See Page 6 of Guide for discussion on counting Hispanic names

³ DOT does not provide a category that matches the US Census category of 'two or more races'.

Analysis: Prospective Juror List is representative of the Estimated Population based on the Census information. The Prospective Juror List more closely resembles the Census Information than the Jury Master List. We do exceed the goal for inclusiveness.

Section 2: Effective Qualification and Summoning

Section 3: Responsiveness of Jurors

Reports/Statistics:

Juror Yields

Qualification Yield

Potential Available	Number	Ineligible/Unavailable	Number	%
A. Question-Summons Sent	16330	B. Undeliverable	999	6.12%
		C. Deceased	61	0.37%
		D. Disqualified	1356	8.30%
		E. Exc Jury Year	1775	10.87%
		F. Perm Excused	534	3.27%
		G. Postponed	2038	12.48%
		H. Final No Response	565	3.46%
		I. Final FTA	201	1.23%
		(to get total add B thru I)		
		J. Total Not Available	7529	46.11%
K. Total Eligible and Available	8801 (A - J)			
L. Qualification Yield (%)	53.89%	[(K / A) x 100]		
		M. Total Cancelled / Called Off	5050	
		N. Percentage Cancelled / Called Off	57.38%	[(M / K) x 100]

Overall Yield

Overall Yield % (Measures => 60%) 53.89% (L)

Analysis: Overall yield is lower than measure/goal and falls short of goal by less than 7%. 2016 saw less potential jurors available than 2015. 2017 will see continued focus will be put on reducing the number of excusals based on the excusal guidelines set by the judges. By reducing the number of total excusals, a higher overall yield should result.

Section 2: Effective Qualification and Summoning

Section 3: Responsiveness of Jurors

Reports/Statistics:

Follow-up Activity sections on Juror Yields

Effectiveness of Follow-up Actions

Follow-up Actions Used:
(i.e., calls, letters, OSC)

Order to Show Cause Hearings are being held for failure to appear or fail to return questionnaires. These hearings are held before the Judicial Court Commissioner. Hearings are held once a month to allow jurors to reschedule their jury duty. Jurors are subject to a \$50 fine if they do not show up at the hearing to reschedule jury duty.

Non-response to Questionnaires

	Number		
Total NRF Codes	2354		
Total SNRF Codes	636		
Total Jurors with NRF or SNRF	2356		
Results of follow-up			
	Jurors with NRF & SNRF		
	Number	%	
Number ineligible/unqualified	696	29.54%	Of Total with NRF & SNRF
Number qualified (postponed & became available)	1347	57.17%	Of Total with NRF & SNRF
Number final no response	313	13.29%	Of Total with NRF & SNRF

Failure to appear when summoned

	Number		
Total FTA and SFTA Codes	717		
Total FTA Jurors with FTAF	379		
Results of follow-up			
	Jurors with FTAF		
	Number	%	
Number ineligible/unqualified	57	15.04%	Of Total with FTAF
Number became eligible/available (subsequent service)	9	2.37%	Of Total with FTAF
Number postponed to later term	111	29.29%	Of Total with FTAF
Number final FTA	202	53.30%	Of Total with FTAF

Analysis: Regular weekly coding of jurors with No Response Follow-up (NRF) and mailings for jurors who did not return questionnaire for the following week appear to be effective. This is resulting in a higher percentage of eligible/available jurors for subsequent service. Ensuring accurate coding of Failure to Appear Follow-up (FTAF) is resulting in a higher percentage of final Failure to Appear (FTA).

Section 4: Efficient Use of Jurors

Reports/Statistics:

Juror Yields, Jury Summary by Case (Totals on detail page), Daily Juror Use Summary (Totals)

	Number	Report/Location
Days Jurors Reported for Selection	81	Daily Juror Use Summary "New/Report for Selection"
New/Reporting for Selection	3873	Daily Juror Use Summary "New/Report for Selection"
Not Used	1572	Daily Juror Use Summary "Not Used"
Number of Voir Dires Begun	58	Daily Juror Use Summary "Voir Dires Begun"
No Trial Start Days	33	Daily Juror Use Summary "New/Report for Selection"
Sent to Voir Dire	2722	Jury Summary by Case "Sent to Voir Dire"
Questioned in Voir Dire	1456	Jury Summary by Case "Quest. Voir Dire"
Not Questioned in Voir Dire	1266	Jury Summary by Case "Not Quest. Voir Dire"
Sworn Jurors	623	Jury Summary by Case "Sworn Jurors"
Trial Days	152	Jury Summary by Case "Trial Days"
Cancelled / Called Off	5050	Juror Yields "Cancelled/Called Off"

Measures	Percent	Goal
% Sent to Jury Selection (# sent to voir dire / # reporting for selection)	62.38%	(Measures => 90%)
% Selected as Jurors (# jurors sworn / # reporting for selection)	16.09%	(Measures => 30%)
% Used in Voir Dire (# questioned in voir dire / # sent to voir dire)	57.12%	(Measures => 80%)
% No Trial Start Days (# days jurors brought in for no trial / # of days jurors reported for selection)	40.74%	(Measures =< 10%)

Analysis: The percentage of Jurors sent to Jury Selection falls well below the measure and does not meet the goal. The percentage of Jurors called in then selected as jurors falls well below the measure and does not meet the goal. The percentage of Jurors used in Voir Dire falls well below the measure and does not meet the goal. The percentage of occasions in which jurors are brought/called in and no trials begin falls above the measure and does not meet the goal. None of the Measure/Goals have been met. None of the measure/goals have been meet in the last five years.

Section 5: Cost Effectiveness

Reports/Statistics:

Jury Expense Summary, Jury Summary by Case, and local budget

Primary Analysis

			Report/Location
Total Expenses	\$137,434.09	/	Jury Expense Summary "Total (\$)"
Trial Days	146	=	
Trial Days	\$941.33	(total expenses / # trial days = cost per trial day)	

Secondary Analysis (optional)

All Associated Costs ⁴	\$219,711.32	/	
# of Questionnaires Sent	16330	=	
Administrative Cost/Juror	\$13.45	(all costs / total # questionnaires sent = administrative cost per juror)	

⁴ Associated costs include the total expenses on Jury Expense Summary, plus salaries, printing, postage and any other expenses related to the jury system.

Analysis: All associated costs include: Food Supplies: \$688.75; Juror Meals: \$1,441.05; Juror Parking: \$7,920.00; Juror Per Diem: \$56,800.00; Juror Travel: \$53,192.04; Jury Bailiffs: \$22,399.04; Jury Coordinator Salary (with Benefits): \$66,287; Other: \$464.50; Postage: \$6,056.69; Printing: \$2,459.68. Amended: All associated costs include: Food Supplies: \$688.75; Juror Meals: \$1,698.80; Juror Parking: \$8,670.00; Juror Per Diem: \$54,240.00; Juror Travel: \$54,872.85; Jury Bailiffs: \$22,399.04; Jury Coordinator Salary (with Benefits): \$66,287; Other: \$516.50; Postage: \$7,718.62; Printing: \$2,619.76.

Addendum: Inclusiveness & Representativeness

Inclusiveness	Census Year	Jury Year Master List	Inclusiveness
Persons 18+ Years Old	148878	135381	90.93% (Measures => 85%)

Race	Census Year		Jury Year Master List		Absolute Disparity
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Black/African-Amer	15636	10.50%	12606	9.17%	-1.33%
Amer Indian / Alaska Native	594	0.40%	349	0.25%	-0.15%
Asian / Pacific Islander	1988	1.34%	1622	1.18%	-0.16%
White / Caucasian	115425	77.53%	114234	83.09%	5.56%
Hispanic ¹	15235	10.23%	8667	6.30%	-3.93%
Other ²	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Unknown	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
	148878		137478		

Race	Census Year		Jury Year Master List		Comparative Disparity
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	
Black/African-Amer	15636	10.50%	12606	9.17%	-12.69%
Amer Indian / Alaska Native	594	0.40%	349	0.25%	-36.37%
Asian / Pacific Islander	1988	1.34%	1622	1.18%	-11.64%
White / Caucasian	115425	77.53%	114234	83.09%	7.17%
Hispanic ¹	15235	10.23%	8667	6.30%	-38.39%
Other ²	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
Unknown	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
	148878		137478		

Notes:

¹ See Page 6 of Guide for discussion on counting Hispanic names

² DOT does not provide a category that matches the US Census category of 'two or more races'.